

Please Note: Automatic page breaks will only work when using **Internet Explorer** as your web browser.

The following were results were provided on 7/28/2015 at 8:18:35 AM:

Abstract ID: 15209

Title: You Cannot Hit What You Do Not Shoot

Subcommittee: Human Performance Analysis and Engineering

Abstract Text: A training system can only be effective if it is appropriately utilized, regardless of whether the training system is a sophisticated full-motion simulator or steel targets on a small-arms range. However, without understanding how trainees use a training system and without clear performance feedback, it is not likely that desired training outcomes will be met. A recent example of training-system underutilization impacting training performance comes from the U.S. Army Sniper Course (USASC). In the USASC, sniper teams spend a considerable amount of time at the beginning of the course conducting "data confirmation." Data confirmation is accomplished by engaging static targets at varying distances on an unknown distance range. So, in the case of data confirmation, the training system is very simple: a small arms range with static targets at varying distances. It was observed over four iterations of USASC that shooters rarely engaged targets at distances beyond 600 m during data confirmation and that, when engaged, the hit percentage of targets over 600 m was very low. The consequence of failing to shoot at far targets (i.e., over 600 m) during data confirmation was low hit percentages on far targets in the record fire event that was a graduation requirement. An intervention was introduced to increase engagements with far targets that required USASC instructors to record and analyze individual shot data. By requiring instructors to document data, the instructor was able to determine if the shooter was spending too much time at closer distances (i.e., not fully utilizing the training system) and to intervene if necessary. The result was increased record-fire performance on far targets. Even though the intervention and results may seem trivial, the need for such an intervention highlights the importance of trainer engagement to ensure proper training-system utilization and the importance of providing performance feedback during training.

Will this paper have one or more authors from outside the U.S.?

No

Discussion Points:

1. Training System Utilization
2. Marksmanship Training
3. Performance Feedback

Primary Author: Dr. Martin L Bink
U.S. Army Research Institute
PO Box 52086
Fort Benning, GA 31995
Phone: 706-5455513
Email: martin.l.bink2.civ@mail.mil

Biography:

Martin Bink is a Senior Research Psychologist and Team Leader at the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences – Fort Benning. Dr. Bink's research interests are in human learning, memory, and cognition especially as applied to education and training, and his current research focuses on digital-skills training, aviation-skills training, simulation training and adaptive training methods. Dr. Bink holds a Ph. D. in Cognitive Psychology from The University of Georgia.

Secondary Author: Mr. David James
Northrop Grumman Technical Services
3565 Macon Road
Columbus, GA 31907
Phone: 706-5651803
Email: david.james@ngc.com

Biography:

David James is a retired Infantry Sergeant Major. He is currently a Military Trainer with Northrop Grumman Technical Services supporting the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences research on individual and collective training and training effectiveness in both the live and virtual environments.

Status: APPROVED